

# **USDA Dietary Supplement Ingredient Database Release 3.0 (DSID-3)**

## **Adult Multivitamin/mineral (MVM) Dietary Supplement Study**

### **Research Summary**

#### **Prepared by**

Dietary Supplement Ingredient Database Team  
Nutrient Data Laboratory  
Agricultural Research Service  
US Department of Agriculture

**March 2015**

US Department of Agriculture  
Agricultural Research Service  
Beltsville Human Nutrition Research Center  
Nutrient Data Laboratory  
10300 Baltimore Avenue  
Building 005, Room 107, BARC-West  
Beltsville, Maryland 20705  
Tel.: 301-504-0630, Email: [ndlinfo@ba.ars.usda.gov](mailto:ndlinfo@ba.ars.usda.gov)

**Web address: <http://dsid.usda.nih.gov>**

## 1. Introduction

The Dietary Supplement Ingredient Database (DSID) evaluates levels of ingredients in dietary supplement products. The Nutrient Data Laboratory (NDL), Beltsville Human Nutrition Research Center, Agricultural Research Service (ARS), US Department of Agriculture (USDA), developed the DSID with the Office of Dietary Supplements (ODS) of the National Institutes of Health and other federal partners (National Center for Health Statistics of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Food and Drug Administration, National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health and National Institute of Standards and Technology [NIST] of the Department of Commerce). ODS is the primary funder of the DSID, which builds on the well-recognized strengths of the ARS in developing databases that support assessments of intakes of nutrients from foods.

For detailed information on the history of this project and the DSID pilot studies, please read the “Background Information and Pilot Study Research Summary”, available on the DSID-3 website (<http://dsid.usda.nih.gov>).

## 2. Overview of the Adult Multivitamin/mineral study

A study of adult multivitamin/minerals (MVMs; dietary supplements containing three or more vitamins with or without minerals or other bioactive components) was conducted to estimate the relationship between label values and analytical values for 18 vitamins and minerals.

Products identified as representative of the US market were purchased from nationwide retail outlets and through direct-to-consumer sales channels. Samples of multiple lots of these products were sent to qualified laboratories for the analysis of ingredients using validated methods and appropriate quality assurance measures. The final analytical dataset was statistically analyzed using regression techniques to estimate relationships between label claims and analytically measured ingredient content at a range of label levels. These study results and their National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) application tables were originally released in 2009 and have been updated in DSID-2 in 2012 and DSID-3 (<http://dsid.usda.nih.gov>) in 2015. For more information, please visit the DSID “Release History” page.

## 3. Sampling Plan

A national sampling plan for adult MVMs was developed to identify and purchase dietary supplement product samples that represent the US market. A national sampling of adult MVMs was conducted for two purposes:

- To provide representative estimates for ingredients in products commonly reported by the US population (top market share [TMS] products).
- To obtain additional data on lower-market share (LMS) products identified as representative and purchased in different regions of the country.

Information on frequency of intake (market share estimate) for reported supplements was derived from the 2001-02 NHANES dietary supplement data files, which are population weighted to indicate reported usage trends. Adult MVM products were defined in NHANES as “standard and mature formulations” (indicating that they were designed for adults and seniors) and containing three or more vitamins, with or without minerals or other bioactive components. Information about the most commonly purchased adult MVMs was verified and updated based on nationally representative market data provided by an independent marketing firm. In addition, supplement use information from the Multiethnic Cohort study of supplements that adults reported using in Hawaii and California conducted in 2005-06 (Murphy et al., 2011) and from the *Nutrition Business Journal Supplement Business Report* (NBJ, 2007) was reviewed. Supplement use information from all of these sources was combined so that products currently on the market would be included along with products that respondents commonly reported using in national surveys.

Thirty-five products were identified as TMS products, representing over 55% of the market share of adult MVM products reported in the United States. Samples (n=210) of the retail products were purchased in six US states (California, Georgia, Maryland, Minnesota, Texas and Virginia) from a variety of retail channels, including mass merchandisers (e.g., Safeway, Target and Wal-mart) and natural/ specialty stores (e.g., Whole Foods and GNC). In addition, some products were purchased from direct marketers (e.g., Amway, Herbalife, or internet-based retailers).

LMS adult MVM products were identified using NHANES 2003-04 dietary supplement data. LMS adult MVM products were defined as products with a market share below that of the TMS products. Samples of approximately 80 representative LMS products were obtained from various market channels, including mass merchandisers, natural/ specialty stores and direct marketers. Retail products were purchased in the same six geographic locations as the TMS products.

The TMS and LMS products analyzed for this study were purchased in 2006-07. The analytical content of vitamins and minerals for up to six lots of each TMS product and up to three lots of each LMS product was measured in 2007-08.

#### **4. Laboratory Analysis and Quality Control**

The purchased products were sent to NDL for processing. Relevant information on each product purchased (e.g., ingredient names and levels, lot number, purchase location and date, and expiration date) was recorded in NDL's in-house database. Samples were repackaged and sent for laboratory analysis in defined batches. Each product sample sent to labs contained at least 20 units (tablets, capsules or liquid serving amounts) of the MVM product. Labs were instructed to homogenize at least 20 sample units before sub-sampling for analysis (per the United States Pharmacopeia (USP) recommendations for the analysis of dietary supplements).

Qualified analytical contract laboratories analyzed the sample sets using validated sample-handling protocols and appropriate methods to obtain analytical information about ingredient levels (Table 1).

**Table 1. Analytical Methods**

Nutrients	Analytical Method Used
Calcium Copper Iron Magnesium Manganese Phosphorus Potassium Zinc	Multi-element inductively coupled plasma spectrometry after wet ashing
Selenium	Hydride generation/atomic absorption spectroscopy
Iodine	Thiosulfate titration
Niacin Riboflavin Thiamin Vitamin B6 Vitamin C	High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with ultraviolet detection
Folic acid Vitamin B-12	Microbiological methods
Vitamin E	HPLC with fluorescence detection

Some data were received for vitamin A (beta-carotene and retinol), vitamin D and chromium, but concerns about methodological issues prevent the reporting of final data for these ingredients. Laboratory methods for these ingredients have improved since 2007-08, and national estimates for these ingredients are reported for children's MVMs in DSID-3. NDL's monitoring study of adult MVMs, which began in 2012, will provide data for these ingredients in DSID-4.

Quality control (QC) materials were added to each batch of adult MVM products to evaluate laboratory precision and accuracy on an ongoing basis. NIST Standard Reference Material (SRM) 3280, an MVM matrix with certified values for vitamins and minerals, was also sent in each batch to monitor laboratory measurement accuracy. In addition, each batch included a set of product duplicates (two sets of 20 tablets of the same MVM product with different test sample identification numbers) that were analyzed for all ingredients in the study and at least two in-house control materials. For each in-house control material, a case of a single lot of an adult MVM product was

purchased. These control materials were added to each batch to evaluate the precision of laboratory methods over time in a similar product matrix.

Analytical retests for ingredients in specific products were conducted to check unusually high or low results, high variability among product lots, and questionable data in batches where QC results showed a bias. For each sample analyzed, laboratory results reported in mg/g or µg/g were compared to label levels and a percent difference from the label levels was calculated.

## **5. Statistical Analysis**

Ingredient data from laboratory analysis were prepared for weighted regression analysis by applying market share estimates as product weights. Market share estimates were based on data from NHANES and from an independent marketing firm, as previously discussed in Section 3. To identify overly influential supplement observations, a jackknife technique was used to calculate Cook's distances and restricted likelihood distances.

Relationships between the label and percent difference from label across the range of label levels analyzed were estimated by regression with SAS® mixed model procedure. For each supplement ingredient, the label value was the independent variable and the percent difference from the label level (based on the laboratory analysis) was the dependent variable. Percent differences from label were calculated:  $((\text{analytical value} - \text{label value}) / \text{label value}) \times 100\%$ . The selected regression equations were used to predict mean analytical levels for each ingredient in adult MVMs:  $\text{label value} \times (1 + \text{predicted percent difference}/100)$ . In the DSID-3 files, these mean predictions are shown in application tables as predicted percent differences from the label level or as predicted values in international units (IU), mg, or mcg g per serving.

In addition, the standard error of the mean (SEM) and the standard error (SE) of an individual observation were calculated at each label level. Because the regression equation could be used to predict ingredient values of independent supplement samples, SEs were adjusted using sums of squares to reflect this expected greater prediction variability.

## **6. Results**

Detailed results for this study, including all regression equations and applications to NHANES dietary supplement data files, are listed in the data files released in DSID-3.

Regression results are reported for 18 vitamins and minerals: folic acid, niacin, riboflavin, thiamin, vitamin B-12, vitamin B-6, vitamin C, vitamin E, calcium, copper, iodine, iron, magnesium, manganese, phosphorus, potassium, selenium and zinc. Regression results for mean predicted percent differences from the label amount and the associated SEs varied by ingredient and, in some cases, by ingredient level.

The regression results for the most common label level for each ingredient in the adult MVM study are summarized in Tables 2 and 3 below. Table 2 lists the predicted mean percent difference from the label level for vitamins, and Table 3 does the same for minerals. If a linear or quadratic regression model was selected, a range of label levels was predicted. If a means model was selected, the predicted mean percent difference was not dependent on the label level.

**Table 2. Predicted Mean Values for Vitamins in Adult MVMs**

<b>Ingredient</b>	<b>Range of Predicted Mean Percent Differences from Label Levels</b>	<b>Most Common Label Level per Serving</b>	<b>Predicted Mean Percent Difference at Most Common Label Level</b>
Folic acid	13.2%	400 mcg	13.2%
Niacin	1.5%*	20 mg	1.5%*
Riboflavin	12.6%	1.7 mg	12.6%
Thiamin	-6.5% to 8.6%	1.5 mg	-6.4%
Vitamin B-12	9.0%	6 mcg	9.0%
Vitamin B-6	-3.5% to 21%	2 mg	4.9%
Vitamin C	8.38%	60 mg	8.38%
Vitamin E	5.4%	27 IU	5.4%

\* Not significantly different from label

**Table 3. Predicted Mean Values for Minerals in Adult MVMs**

<b>Ingredient*</b>	<b>Range of Predicted Percent Differences from Label Levels</b>	<b>Most Common Label Level per Serving</b>	<b>Predicted Percent Difference at Most Common Label Level</b>
Calcium	7.1% to 29.3%	162 mg	14.1%
Copper	9.0%	2 mg	9.0%
Iodine	26.2%	150 mcg	26.2%
Iron	-0.5% to 16.4%	18 mg	0.93%
Magnesium	3.35%	100 mg	3.35%
Manganese	6.25%	2 mg	6.25%
Phosphorus	8.13%	109 mg	8.13%
Potassium	8.7%	80 mg	8.7%
Selenium	24.6%	20 mcg	24.6%
Zinc	-1.9% to 8.1%	15 mg	4.39%

Content of all minerals was significantly different from label

These results show that nine ingredients (niacin, vitamin B-12, vitamin C, vitamin E, copper, magnesium, manganese, phosphorus and potassium) had predicted mean percent differences from label levels of between 0% and 10% above label level at the most common label level and across the entire regression range. For four ingredients (thiamin, vitamin B-6, zinc and iron), predicted percent differences from label levels ranged from slightly below (-6.5% to -0.5%) to 8.1% to 21% above label level across the regression ranges. For riboflavin and folic acid, predicted percent differences were approximately 13% higher than label level for all label levels analyzed. For calcium, the predicted percent differences from label levels ranged from 7% to 29.3% higher. For two ingredients (iodine and selenium), the predicted percent difference from label levels was well above label level (26.2% and 24.6%, respectively) for all levels reported. One ingredient, thiamin, had predicted means slightly below label level for the regression range representing a majority of products. At the most common label level, 1.5 mg/serving, the mean analytical content was predicted to be 6.4% below label level.

## **7. Use of Regression Equations**

The regression equations for adult MVMs released in DSID-3 predict the mean percent differences from label levels for 18 ingredients in dietary supplements consumed in the United States. The predicted amounts are linked to label levels for each ingredient and are not specific to any brand or supplement. These predictions (predicted mean values) are intended for research purposes and are not meant to provide analytical estimates for ingredients in individual products.

The predicted SEM is the SE for this mean prediction. The regression equations also estimate the SE for each individual product at specific label levels. This SE is much larger than the SEM because it represents the error of prediction for an individual product vs. the error of prediction of a mean value for many products.

Results predicted by regression for the mean percent difference from label level and the SEs have been linked to NHANES products at the label levels reported for those ingredients. The predicted results from the DSID can be used to replace information from labels to more accurately assess ingredient intakes from dietary supplements in large population surveys.

The details on the DSID-3 data files and instructions for appropriate use of the files are described in a separate report, “DSID-3 Data Files and Description”, available on the DSID-3 website. Please refer to that report for additional information on how to interpret and use each data file.

An online, interactive “Adult MVM Calculator” has been released with DSID-3. This calculator allows the user to enter ingredient information from MVM labels and generate the appropriate predicted mean values and SEs at those label levels.

## **8. Future Research**

Additional DSID studies are underway to evaluate ingredient quantities in prescription prenatal MVMs and green tea dietary supplements. Future data releases will include results from these two studies and from a study to monitor ingredients in adult MVMs. Estimates for vitamin D, vitamin A and chromium in adult MVMs, not previously reported, will be released in the future.

## **9. References**

Murphy SP, Wilkens LR, Monroe KR, Steffen AD, Yonemori KM, Morimoto Y, Albright CL. Dietary supplement use within a multiethnic population as measured by a unique inventory method. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2011;111:1065-1072.

*Nutrition Business Journal* (2007). Global Supplement & Nutrition Industry Report.